

# Sparkle: Accessible Meta-Algorithmics

Koen van der Blom<sup>1</sup>, Chuan Luo<sup>2</sup>, Holger H. Hoos<sup>1,3</sup>

2020-11-09



**Universiteit  
Leiden**  
The Netherlands

<sup>1</sup>Leiden University

<sup>2</sup>Microsoft Research Asia

<sup>3</sup>University of British Columbia

# Sparkle

- Meta-algorithmics for everyone
  - Algorithm selection
  - Algorithm configuration
- Benchmarking - Fair comparison of
  - Target algorithms (like CSSC) [Hutter et al. 2017]
  - Meta-algorithms (like AClib) [Hutter et al. 2014]
- Competitions (e.g. SAT, planning) [Luo et al. 2018, 2019]
- Best practice and avoid pitfalls [e.g. Eggenesperger et al. 2019]

# Algorithm configuration

- Get better performance
- Used incorrectly
  - “*This doesn’t work!*”
  - Wrong result (interpretation)
- Comparing algorithms
  - More can go wrong
- Comparing configurators
  - Even more can go wrong

# AClib [Hutter et al 2014]

- Wrapper – Ensure algorithm calls are consistent across configurators
- Runsolver – Ensure runtime measurement is consistent
- Basic statistics and scatterplots (AClib 2)

# Sparkle

- Report
  - Plots and statistics
  - What happens under the hood / practices used
- Integration with selection
- Just running target algorithms
- Analysis and validation tools
  - Integrated parameter importance analysis
  - Was the instance set homogeneous?

# Sparkle

- Configuration and selection for everyone
- Benchmarking
  - Compare target- and meta-algorithms fairly
- Competitions (e.g. SAT, planning)
- Aid following best practice and avoiding pitfalls
- First release soon<sup>TM</sup> : )